Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	, 10/600,373	RICHARDS, LESLIE W.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Donald Heckenberg	1722
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Peter Jansson (Applicant's Rep.).	(3)	
(2) Donald Heckenberg (PTO Exmr).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>3 August 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	pplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		·
Claims discussed: 1-3, 7 and 15		
Prior art documents discussed: Lin (U.S. Pat. No. 5,945,140)		
Part II.	,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE G See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT V	VAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sur</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>The examiner will provide a warrante</li> <li>rate record of the substance of</li> </ul>	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
		•
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	licant/Applicant's Passagetsting	Signatura if appropriate)
(App	licant/Applicant's Representative	s olginature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Mr. Jannson initially called to suggest amendment correcting claim dependency problem noted in last Office Action. Exmr. Heckenberg agreed to do Examiner's amendment as suggested by Mr. Jannson. Exmr. Heckenberg then noted that claims appeared to still be anticipated by Lin reference and suggested describing mating arrangement between female member and fin portion to distinguish reference. Mr. Jansson agreed to such an amendment (see Examiner's Amendment).